



INSTITUTE FOR PRINCIPLE STUDIES BOOK ANALYSIS

The Late Great USA by Jerome R. Corsi

OVERVIEW:

This book does an excellent job of sounding the alarm on the attempt to merge Canada, Mexico, and the United States into a new continental super government. Most Americans are still unaware of this effort, and some of the media are still denying its existence. Dr. Corsi's work provides solid, irrefutable documentation of how far this agenda has proceeded.

Some of our criticisms of the book include:

1. The author frequently makes assumptions that "globalization" and "free trade" are necessarily related concepts. The author's reasoning seems to extend to the assumption that free trade and national sovereignty are somehow exclusive of one another. Our political independence and our foreign trade are totally independent matters, however. It is possible that an independent, sovereign, and free America could choose high tariffs and limited foreign trade or no tariffs and unlimited free trade.

It is our belief that those who promote centralization of government power have hijacked free trade as one vehicle for promoting their agenda. There is strong merit, on several levels, to the concept of free trade, and the "political globalists" have worked hard to link their meritless agenda of centralization of power to the free trade movement.

On this matter, we believe that Dr. Corsi has fallen prey to his opponent's strategy. Many free market economists, who should be very concerned by globalization, will reject this work because of the author's (mostly implicit) assumption that free trade is incompatible with national sovereignty.

2. There are occasional, subtle assumptions that the integration into a North American Union is wrong because its promoters are doing much of their work in secret. We agree that the secrecy is wrong, but the secrecy is not the principle reason why the NAU should be rejected. History is replete with examples of policies that were initially promoted in secret, but after sufficient "education" of the population, those same policies later came out of the closet with much public support. IPS would reject the NAU, regardless of its popularity.
3. The political analysis of the author is generally good, but IPS would take issue with many of his economic assumptions.

CONCLUSION:

Read this book for its valuable information about the NAU and the effort to cede U.S. sovereignty to a large international body, not for its economics.

It is absolutely essential that Canadians, Americans, and Mexicans be made aware of the NAU and its dangers. Only with sufficient awareness and education can these efforts to centralize power and steal our liberty be halted. This work is very useful for education and for creating necessary awareness.